# **E** Catalysis

# Decomposition of a Phosphine-Free Metathesis Catalyst by Amines and Other Bronsted Bases: Metallacyclobutane Deprotonation as a Major Deactivation Pathway

Benjamin J. Ireland, Bernadette T. Dobigny, and Deryn E. Fogg\*

Department of Chemistry and Centre for Catalysis Research & Innovation, Unive[rsi](#page-7-0)ty of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 6N5

# **S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: [Reactions are](#page-7-0) described of the second-generation Hoveyda catalyst HII with amines, pyridine, and DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo<sup>[5.4.0]</sup>undec-7-ene), in the presence and absence of olefin substrates. These nitrogen bases have a profoundly negative impact on metathesis yields, but in most cases, they are innocuous toward the precatalyst. HII adducts were formed by primary and secondary amines (n-butylamine, sec-butylamine, benzylamine, pyrrolidine, morpholine), pyridine, and DBU at room temperature. No reaction was evident for  $NEt<sub>3</sub>$ , even at 60 °C. On longer reaction at RT, unencumbered primary amines abstract the benzylidene ligand from HII. With 10 equiv of  $\text{NH}_2$ <sup>n</sup>Bu, this process was complete in 12 h, affording NH<sup>n</sup>Bu( $\overrightarrow{CH_2Ar}$ ) (Ar = o-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-O<sup>i</sup>Pr) and  $\text{[RuCl(H$_2$]Mes)(NH$_2$^nBu)_4]\r{Cl.}$  For benzylamine, benzylidene abstraction occurred over days at RT. No such reaction was observed for sec-butylamine, secondary amines, NEt<sub>3</sub>, pyridine, or DBU. All of these bases, however, strongly



inhibited metathesis of styrene by HII, with a general trend toward more deleterious effects with higher Bronsted basicity. Studies at 10 mol % of HII and 10 equiv of DBU, NEt<sub>3</sub>, and pyrrolidine (60 °C, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) indicated that the primary mechanism for decomposition involved base-induced deprotonation of the metallacyclobutane intermediate, rather than the Lewis basemediated decomposition pathways previously established for the Grubbs catalysts. In the corresponding metathesis of ethylene, this decomposition process is rapid even at RT, highlighting the vulnerability of the less substituted metallacyclobutane.

KEYWORDS: homogeneous catalysis, olefin metathesis, amine, metallacyclobutane, decomposition, Hoveyda catalyst, Hoveyda−Grubbs catalyst

# ■ **INTRODUCTION**

Olefin metathesis offers exceptional power and efficiency in the assembly of carbon–carbon bonds.<sup>1</sup> The development of readily handled, highly active ruthenium catalysts (preeminently the second-generation Grubb[s](#page-7-0) and Hoveyda catalysts **GII** and **HII**; Chart  $1)^{2,3}$  led to widespread uptake of these methodologies in organic synthesis. Notwithstanding the importance of metathe[sis](#page-7-0) in the synthesis of alkaloids and other nitrogen heterocycles,<sup>4</sup> however, compounds bearing sterically accessible nitrogen sites challenge the functionalgroup tolerance of these cata[ly](#page-7-0)sts.<sup>5−7</sup>

Chart 1. Metathesis Catalysts D[iscu](#page-7-0)ssed, and the Resting-State Methylidene Complex for GII



Reports from pharma highlight the destructive effect on metathesis of even traces of morpholine, DBU (1,8 diazabicyclo[5.4.0]-undec-7-ene), and other nitrogen bases. $8-10$  Likewise, metathesis of amine-bearing substrates has long been known to require either N-protection, $11$  or an adjac[ent](#page-7-0) substituent to block access to and/or withdraw electron density from the nitrogen site.<sup>5−7</sup> The Cos[sy](#page-7-0) group recently extended the latter strategy to metathesis of Nheteroaromatic compounds.<sup>12</sup> For protec[ted](#page-7-0) amines, a survey of recent examples<sup>13−21</sup> illustrates moderate to excellent metathesis yields. The reaction i[s re](#page-7-0)latively slow even at high catalyst loadings, howev[er, su](#page-7-0)ggesting competing catalyst deactivation.

A clear understanding of the processes by which catalysts decompose is key to designing solutions.22−<sup>24</sup> The deleterious effect of sterically accessible amine and pyridine donors on metathesis is widely presumed to be [due to](#page-7-0) their excellent Lewis basicity,  $67,12$  owing in large part to studies of the Grubbs catalysts.25−<sup>28</sup> Particularly damaging, even toward the robust precatalyst G[II](#page-7-0)[, ar](#page-7-0)e sterically accessible primary amines. We

Received: April 19, 2015 Revised: June 26, 2015 Published: June 30, 2015

<span id="page-1-0"></span>recently demonstrated that n-butylamine abstracts the benzylidene ligand from GII over several hours at room temperature (Scheme 1, top).<sup>26,27,29</sup> In contrast, secondary amines, $26$  DBU, $26$  and pyridine<sup>30</sup> form stable adducts with GII.

# Schem[e 1](#page-7-0). Am[ine](#page-7-0)-Induced D[ea](#page-7-0)ctivation of the Grubbs Catalysts



Much more vulnerable is the resting-state methylidene complex GIIm. All amines studied greatly accelerate decomposition of this species.<sup>26,27</sup> Such donors cause complete loss of the methylidene ligand over hours at RT, a process that requires days for GIIm [at 5](#page-7-0)5  $^{\circ}$ C in the absence of amine.<sup>28</sup> In both cases, decomposition occurs via dissociation of the  $PCy_3$ ligand, which then abstracts the methylidene ligand. Cons[iste](#page-7-0)nt with the general pathway depicted in Scheme 1 (bottom), we recently intercepted and crystallographically characterized the σ-alkyl species Ru-1 for the first-generation Grubbs catalyst  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PCy<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(=CHPh)  $GL<sup>31</sup>$$ 

Although free  $PCy_3$  plays a central role in this decomposition chemistry, a related pathwa[y c](#page-7-0)an be envisaged for phosphinefree catalysts: that is, attack on the methylidene ligand by nitrogen nucleophiles, in place of  $PCy_3$ . Despite the growing importance of HII and its congeners, the decomposition behavior of such phosphine-free catalysts has seen little attention. $32$  In the present study, we examined the reactions of nitrogen bases with HII in the presence and absence of metathes[is s](#page-7-0)ubstrates. The bases selected for study (Chart 2)

Chart 2. Nitrogen Bases Studied, with Binding Site Shown in Blue



include examples highlighted in the literature as particularly deleterious, with the addition of others (NEt<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Ph, NH2 secBu) designed to gauge the effect of amine bulk and basicity. Here we report that Bronsted basicity−specifically, proton abstraction from the metallacyclobutane intermediate− is the primary contributor to deactivation of HII during metathesis. Lewis basicity is found to play a significant role only for the smallest, most accessible primary amines.

#### ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prior to examining the impact of a−f on HII during catalysis, we established the reaction chemistry in the absence of substrate. These experiments are important to dissect out the respective roles of the metallacyclobutane or other intermediates, relative to HII itself. They carry further weight given that HII functions as not only the precatalyst but also the catalyst resting state during metathesis. $^{33}$  We find that the chemistry of HII itself parallels that previously communicated<sup>26</sup> for reaction of GII with a subset of these [do](#page-7-0)nors.

Adduct Formation on Reaction of HII wi[th](#page-7-0) N-Donors. In a series of NMR experiments, HII was treated with a 10-fold excess of a-h in  $C_6D_6$ , in the presence of an internal integration standard (TMB, trimethoxybenzene) to enable quantification of nonalkylidene Ru products. As shown in Scheme 2 and described below, stable adducts were observed for the secondary amines, pyridine, and DBU (a−d), whereas no reaction was evident for NEt<sub>3</sub> e, even at 60 °C.

### Scheme 2. Reaction of HII with Di- or Trisubstituted N-Donors<sup>a</sup>



 ${}^a\text{L} = \text{H}_2\text{I}$ Mes. For primary amines f–h, see below.

For the adducts of a−d, a new singlet for the alkylidene proton was observed in the region 20.0−20.7 ppm (Table 1).

Table 1. Key  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR Data and Equilibrium Yields for N-Adducts of HII and  $GH<sup>a</sup>$ 

| N-donor                              | <b>HII</b> adducts $\delta_{H}$ (yield) | GII adducts <sup>26</sup> $\delta_{H}$ (yield) |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| none                                 | 16.72                                   | 19.65  |
| a: morpholine                        | 20.39(71)                               | 19.73(65)                                      |
| <b>b</b> : pyrrolidine               | 20.25 (100)                             | 19.67(93)                                      |
| c: pyridine                          | 19.97 $(100)^{b,c}$                     | 19.83 $(55)^b$                                 |
| d: DBU                               | 20.71(74)                               | 19.93 (75)                                     |
| $e: NEt_3$                           | no reaction                             | no reaction                                    |
| f: $NH2nBu$                          | 19.81 $(95)^{b}$                        | 19.56 $(90)^{b,d}$                             |
|                                      | 18.58(5)                                |  |
| g: NH, CH, Ph                        | 19.74 $(78)^b$                          | not reported                                   |
|                                      | 18.84(22)                               |  |
| h: NH <sub>2</sub> <sup>sec</sup> Bu | $(100)^c$                               | not reported                                   |
|                                      |   |  |

<sup>a</sup>Chemical shift of [Ru]=CHAr (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz). Equilibrium yields in brackets, exclusive of decomposition.  $b^b$ Assigned as bis(amine) adduct; see text. Calue at  $-20$  °C in C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub> (br s at RT). <sup>d</sup>cf. value of 18.96 reported<sup>25</sup> for GIId<sub>2</sub> at  $-30$  °C in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

Diagnostic f[or](#page-7-0) release of the chelated ether oxygen is the downfield location of this signal, relative to that for HII at 16.72 ppm.<sup>34</sup> Release of the chelate is further supported by the upfield location of the CHMe<sub>2</sub> septet, for which the midpoint appe[ars](#page-8-0) between 4.2 and 4.0 ppm, near that of the free styrenyl ether (4.15 ppm). In comparison, a midpoint of 4.48 ppm is found for this signal in HII itself. A through-space interaction between the isopropoxy  $CHMe<sub>2</sub>$  methine and the alkylidene proton was confirmed by NOE experiments with the pyrrolidine adduct HIIb. A control experiment showed no

such NOE effect for HII itself, in which the position of the isopropoxy group is locked by chelation.

The resulting adducts were thermally stable at 60 $\degree$ C, showing no detectable decomposition after heating for 24 h. A dynamic equilibrium between the adducts and HII is operative, as indicated by  ${}^{1}H$  EXSY experiments with  $HIIb$ , which exhibited a correlation cross-peak between the alkylidene signals for HII and HIIb (see S.I.) Partial reversion to HII was induced on exposure to vacuum, consistent with an equilibrium reaction.

In comparison, treating HII w[ith](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscatal.5b00813/suppl_file/cs5b00813_si_001.pdf) *n*-butylamine  $f$  (Scheme 3) resulted in immediate formation of mono- and bis-amine

Scheme 3. Reaction of HII with Primary Amines



adducts: no HII was visible, but 9% loss of alkylidene was evident at the time of the first NMR measurement (4 min), increasing to 15% at 15 min. The dominant product ( $\text{[Ru]}$ = CHAr  $\delta_{\rm H}$  19.81 ppm) is assigned as bis-amine adduct HIIf<sub>2</sub>, by analogy to the structure crystallographically established for  $GIIf_2^{25}$  Monoamine derivative  $HIIf$  was present in minor amounts ( $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 18.58 ppm, <5%). The analogous monoamine comp[lex](#page-7-0) was not observed for GII but reportedly formed via loss of amine on drying  $GIIf_2$  under vacuum.<sup>25,26</sup>

Ensuing benzylidene abstraction by f was slightly faster than in the GII system.<sup>26</sup> Loss of alkylidene was co[mple](#page-7-0)te after 12 h at RT (vs 95% for GII), and the diagnostic methylene singlet for the amine pro[duc](#page-7-0)t NH("Bu)(CH<sub>2</sub>Ar) 1 (Ar = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-*o*-O<sup>i</sup>Pr) was evident at 3.93 ppm  $(0.8 \text{ equity vs starting HII}).$ 

The corresponding reactions with benzylamine g proceeded similarly, affording mono- and bis-amine derivatives [H](#page-8-0)IIg and  $HIg<sub>2</sub>$  (Scheme 3; Table 1). Decomposition was much slower, however, presumably reflecting the greater bulk and lower basicity $36$  of the a[mine. Th](#page-1-0)us, after 96 h, the alkylidene signal for the  $HIIg<sub>2</sub>$  adduct was still observable at 19.74 ppm (ca. 6%; cf. zero [re](#page-8-0)maining  $HIIf_2$  after 12 h). A similar proportion of the amine derivative  $NH(CH_2Ph)(CH_2Ar)$  1' was observed.

In situ quantification of the ruthenium coproduct is hampered by the excess amine present. We isolated the nbutylamine derivative Ru-2 from both HII and GII, by addition of 10 equiv of f at RT in benzene (Scheme 4). The yellow product precipitated over 24 h, and was purified by extracting with hexanes (81% isolated yield for GII; or 69% for the smaller-scale reaction with HII). This cationic complex is unstable in solution in the absence of excess amine, presumably because of competing coordination of the chloride counterion

Scheme 4. Isolation of Ru-2 from the Reaction of HII or GII with  $n$ -Butylamine<sup> $a$ </sup>



"Amine coproducts  $(NH^{(n}Bu)(CH_2Ar)$  1 or  $NH^{(n}Bu)(CH_2Ph)$  not shown.

and displacement of bound NH<sub>2</sub>"Bu. Similar behavior, including a cascade of ensuing reactions associated with solvent-induced chlorination, was previously reported for nitrile derivatives of  $Ru(II).^{37}$  The structure shown is supported by combustion analysis and by NMR analysis in the presence of a small amount of add[ed](#page-8-0) amine  $(1\% \text{ v/v})$ .<sup>38</sup>

Notably, no benzylidene abstraction occurred in the corresponding reaction of HII with sec-[bu](#page-8-0)tylamine h. Instead, monoamine adduct HIIh was formed as the sole product, and this species resisted decomposition even after heating for 24 h at 60 °C. We infer that disubstitution at the carbon  $\alpha$  to the nitrogen atom is sufficient to block approach to the benzylidene ligand.

Consistent with this steric sensitivity is a mechanism involving benzylidene abstraction via nucleophilic attack at the  $\left[\text{Ru}\right]$ =CHAr carbon (Scheme 5).<sup>39</sup> Precedent for the  $\sigma$ -

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism for [Be](#page-8-0)nzylidene Abstraction by Sterically Accessible Primary Amines



alkyl moiety in intermediate A is provided by structurally characterized  $Ru-1$  (Scheme 1) in the Grubbs system.<sup>31</sup> Elimination of the alkyl ligand−whether by a concerted pathway, as shown, o[r via a Ru-](#page-1-0)hydride intermediate−wo[uld](#page-7-0) liberate the secondary amine 1.

The overall pattern of decomposition by primary amines outlined above indicates a sharp decline in aggressiveness in the order  $NH_2$ "Bu  $\gg NH_2CH_2Ph$ , while attack by  $\alpha$ -substituted  $NH_2^{sec}$ Bu is completely inhibited. HII is thus relatively tolerant toward amines or related nucleophiles for which benzylidene abstraction is curbed by bulk or limited basicity. Because ligation of these Lewis donors is readily reversible, their negative impact on the precatalyst (that is, HII itself) is better described as deactivation than decomposition. Very different

<span id="page-3-0"></span>results are found in the presence of olefin, as described in the next section.

Base-Induced Decomposition of HII and Its Active Species During Metathesis. We next examined the impact of base on the longevity and productivity of HII during metathesis. As a probe reaction, we chose the self-metathesis of styrene by HII  $(1 \text{ mol } \%)$  at 60 °C in benzene. The homodimerization of styrene to form stilbene is known to proceed in high yield but at relatively slow rates even using  $3-5$  mol % Ru.<sup>40-42</sup> It thus provides a convenient model for It thus provides a convenient model for assessing the detrimental effect of base.

In contr[ol reac](#page-8-0)tions without added a−f, yields of stilbene reached ca. 80% after 2 h, and 94% after 24 h. In the presence of a−f, the maximum yield decreased in all cases (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Impact of N-base on metathesis yields. $36$ 

The impact of just one equivalent of amine [per](#page-8-0) HII is offset by adduct formation. With 10 equiv of a−f, however, metathesis activity is dramatically reduced, and a general trend toward lower CM yields with increasing Bronsted basicity is evident.<sup>36</sup> A minor anomaly with  $\mathrm{NH}_2$ "Bu  $\mathbf f$  is attributed to the capacity of this sterically accessible primary amine to abstract t[he](#page-8-0) alkylidene ligand from HII, as described above.

The negligible proportion of stilbene (<5%) formed with the stronger bases attests to the efficiency of decomposition. Perhaps most unexpected is the low CM yield observed in the presence of a 10-fold excess of NEt<sub>3</sub> e. This is particularly important given the steric protection presumed for tertiary amine centers, as noted in the Introduction. A role for proton abstraction in catalyst deactivation is proposed below. Nbinding (i.e., sequestration of amine by binding to the metal, as in Scheme 1) is presumed to compete with this pathway.

Decomposition Pathway. To gain insight into the m[echanism b](#page-1-0)y which bases decompose the active catalyst, NMR experiments were carried out under the conditions above but using 10 equiv each of styrene and amine. In this portion of the study, we focused on the three most deleterious bases, pyrrolidine **b**, DBU **d**, and NEt<sub>3</sub> **e**. (We omitted primary amine f in order to highlight decomposition that originates in the active species, as opposed to the precatalyst.) For DBU and NEt<sub>3</sub>, loss of all alkylidene species was complete within 30 min at 60 $\degree$ C (Table 2); cf. 18 h for pyrrolidine **b**.

Unexpectedly, experiments directed at assessing temperature effects indicated that decomposition by  $NEt_3$  e is fast even at RT, with complete loss of alkylidene by 1 h. In comparison, ca. 90% loss is found with DBU after 10 h at RT. In all cases, the

Table 2. Decomposition Rates and Products on Reaction of HII with Styrene in the Presence of Amines<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Conditions: 200 mM styrene,  $C_6D_6$ , J. Young tube. <sup>1</sup>H NMR integration vs TMB;  $\pm$  2.5% in replicate runs. Yields of 3 are based on starting HII. Yields of stilbene vs starting styrene <4%.

sole or principal organic decomposition product is (E)−  $PhCH=CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph$  3, irrrespective of temperature. The identity of 3 was confirmed by MS and NMR analysis. The olefinic signals offer characteristic NMR values, in terms of both chemical shift and multiplicity: a doublet at 6.31 ppm  $(^3J_{\rm{HH}} =$ 16 Hz) for the PhHC proton, and a doublet of triplets at 6.19 ppm  $\binom{3}{1\text{HH}}$  = 16 Hz,  $\binom{3}{1\text{HH}}$  = 7 Hz) for the =CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph proton, in excellent agreement with literature values, $43$  and with DEPT-135 and COSY data. Trans-selectivity is indicated by the magnitude of the  $\mathrm{^{3}J_{HH}}$  coupling constant [\(1](#page-8-0)6 Hz). A minor coproduct, observed in the control reaction without base, and in the presence of NEt<sub>3</sub>, is the related species  $(E)$ -PhCH=  $CHCH_3^3$  3'.<sup>44</sup> The origin of these products, and the mechanistic implications thereof, are discussed below.

These r[eac](#page-8-0)tions proceed via initial cross-metathesis of HII with styrene, liberating isopropoxystyrene 2 in near-quantitative amounts (95% based on starting HII).<sup>45</sup> Complete, efficient uptake of the entire HII charge is indicated, notwithstanding the relatively small proportion of substr[ate](#page-8-0) present. This point is worth emphasis, as it contradicts the widespread view that HII initiates slowly: it is fully consistent, however, with the rapid turnon established for this catalyst in recent  $^{13}$ C-labeling studies.<sup>33</sup>

In the slower reaction with pyrrolidine b, we were able to observ[e t](#page-7-0)he known adduct  $GII\overline{b}^{26}$  (70% yield at 2 h, based on starting HII). This complex is formed by trapping of the fourcoordinate benzylidene inter[me](#page-7-0)diate Ru-3 (Scheme 6). Although GIIb disappears relatively slowly, it does not successfully engage in metathesis. Decompositi[on accoun](#page-4-0)ts for the failure to observe GIIb and HIIb in the expected equilibrium ratio. Instead, the organic product of catalyst decomposition, PhCH=CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph 3, accounts for 80–90% of the "missing" HII at any time (see conversion plot of Scheme 6).

The three-carbon backbone present in 3 is an i[mportant](#page-4-0) [m](#page-4-0)arker implicating attack of base on the metallacyclobutane intermediate (e.g., Ru-4; Scheme 7). Proton abstraction from the C $β$  site is proposed to generate an anionic  $σ$ -alkyl complex such as Ru-5, the basi[city of w](#page-4-0)hich enables E−H bond activation and ensuing elimination, as earlier established for  $\sigma$ alkyl complex  $Ru-1.^{\frac{46}{16}}$  Key to this pathway is poor steric

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Scheme 6. Reaction of HII with Styrene and Pyrrolidine and Rate Plot for Loss of Alkylidene







protection of the kite-shaped<sup>32b</sup> metallacyclobutane ring, which permits approach of amine. Notably absent is the oisopropoxyphenyl analogue [of](#page-7-0) 3 (i.e.,  $PhCH=CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ar$ ). We infer that the increased bulk of the aryl substituent in Ru-4″ impedes approach of base to  $C\beta$ , and hence inhibits deprotonation of this species.

Interestingly, on replacing pyrrolidine b with the bulkier, less basic amine NEt<sub>3</sub> e, 10-15% PhCH=CHMe 3' is observed, depending on temperature. Formation of 3′ indicates that metathesis proceeds to the monosubstituted metallacyclobutane (MCB)  $Ru-4'$ , a reflection of the inability of NEt<sub>3</sub> to trap out the four-coordinate intermediate (see Ru-3, etc.) via adduct formation. More extensive metathesis is inhibited by decomposition. The rapidity of decomposition even at RT, indicates that the transition state for  $\beta$ -elimination (i.e., transformation of Ru-4 to Ru-5) is rather low in energy. The barrier appears to decline as MCB substitution decreases, as indicated by the very rapid degradation of HII in the presence of ethylene and base at RT; see below.

In the absence of base, catalyst decomposition during styrene metathesis was much slower, with 9% HII still present after 24 h at 60 °C. Stilbene was observed in ca. 70% yield. Of note, small amounts of PhCH=CHCH<sub>3</sub> 3<sup>'</sup> and propylene (0.2 equiv vs starting HII) were also evident, indicating decomposition via the monosubstituted MCB intermediate. MCB deprotonation can thus occur even without assistance by base. The Sasol group earlier reported evidence for  $β$ -hydride transfer from MCB intermediates as a key deactivation pathway for GIIm in the presence of ethylene.<sup>47</sup> Bespalova and co-workers subsequently invoked such a pathway to account for decomposition of HII and [fo](#page-8-0)rmation of propylene under ethylene.32a

Decomposition was much faster under ethylene atmosphere, even at [RT](#page-7-0). Exposing HII to ethylene in the presence of pyrrolidine b (Scheme 8) resulted in >90% loss of all alkylidene

Scheme 8. Organic Products from Base-Induced Decomposition of HII During Ethylene Metathesis



signals within 2 h. This should be compared with just 8% loss at 2 h under these conditions in the absence of base. Major products were ArCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub> 4 and its  $\beta$ -methylstyrene isomer ArCH=CHMe 4', formed in nearly 80% total yield relative to starting HII. Both originate in MCB Ru-6, consistent with the pathway shown for the analogous intermediate Ru-4 in Scheme 7. Somewhat unexpectedly, no propylene was observed, in contrast to the pyrrolidine-free control reaction. This is certainly due in part to the efficiency of decomposition, which hampers the ensuing formation of the unsubstituted MCB Ru-6. We do not rule out, however, the possibility that the balance of decomposition (20%) occurs via this pathway, and that the propylene gas is lost in the headspace.

Accelerated catalyst decomposition during metathesis of ethylene, as compared with styrene, is consistent with the greater accessibility of the monosubstituted metallacyclobutane ring in Ru-6, relative to disubstituted Ru-4. This heightened vulnerability to base in the presence of ethylene is of particular interest from the perspective of "ethenolysis" reactions used to generate  $\alpha$ -olefins from internal olefins, a subject of considerable interest in multiple contexts, including metathesis of renewable feedstocks.<sup>48</sup> More generally, it underscores the importance of efficiently sweeping ethylene out of metathesis reactions where amines [or](#page-8-0) other Bronsted bases are present, as a means of minimizing catalyst decomposition. Decomposition via base-induced deprotonation of the unsubstituted MCB is thus expected to be particularly problematic during industrial processes involving HII and related catalysts, where mass transfer limitations apply; during synthetic reactions with limited headspace, and during NMR-tube or other sealed-tube experiments.

### ■ **CONCLUSIONS**

The foregoing represents the first study of the effect of additives on decomposition of the important Hoveyda catalyst HII. The precatalyst itself proved tolerant toward all but the most sterically accessible, unbranched primary amines. While the ether ligand is readily displaced by N-donors, in most cases this merely generates stable adducts, which exist in equilibrium with HII. Sterically unencumbered amines, however, attack the

precatalyst and abstract the benzylidene moiety as NHR-  $(CH<sub>2</sub>Ar)$   $(R = {}<sup>n</sup>Bu, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph).$ 

In the presence of substrate, the impact of base is much more dramatic. Metathesis yields are highly sensitive to the basicity of the amine, and even 1 equiv of DBU causes almost complete loss of activity. In all cases, however, metathesis is sharply reduced by a stoichiometric excess of amine. Closer examination of the reactions with pyrrolidine,  $NEt<sub>3</sub>$  and DBU revealed attack on the key metallacyclobutane (MCB) intermediate, and indicated that decomposition was complete within ca. two cycles of metathesis. The organic products of decomposition were consistent with deprotonation of the metallacyclobutane by the Bronsted base to form a Ru-alkyl intermediate, and elimination via E−H activation pathways. The rapid deactivation by  $NEt<sub>3</sub>$  is particularly noteworthy, given the steric protection widely presumed for tertiary nitrogen centers.

Also of note is the dramatically higher vulnerability of the MCB intermediate formed in the presence of ethylene, which was rapidly decomposed in the presence of base even at ambient temperatures. These data suggest that, where basic substrates or contaminants are present, catalyst productivity will be highly sensitive to removal of ethylene, the usual coproduct of metathesis.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Procedures. Reactions were carried out using standard glovebox or Schenk techniques at ambient temperature, unless otherwise indicated. Dry, oxygen-free  $C_6H_6$ ,  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , and hexanes were obtained using a Glass Contour solvent purification system.  $C_6D_6$ ,  $C_7D_8$ , and  $CD_2Cl_2$  (Cambridge Isotopes) were degassed by five consecutive freeze/ pump/thaw cycles. All solvents were stored over 4 Å molecular sieves under  $N_2$  for at least 12 h prior to use. Decane and styrene (>99%, Sigma-Aldrich) were degassed as above and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. DBU (98%, Acros), pyridine (>99%, Fisher) and NEt<sub>3</sub> (99%, Alfa Aesar) were distilled over CaH<sub>2</sub>; morpholine (Sigma-Aldrich, >99.5%, packed under  $N_2$ ) was used as received. All other amines (Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa Aesar; maximum grade, 99% or higher) were degassed immediately on receipt and stored under  $N_2$ . Amine purity was verified by  ${}^{1}H$  NMR analysis prior to use. Ethylene (Linde grade 3.0), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (>99%, Sigma-Aldrich) and potassium tris(pyrazolyl)borate (>97%, TCI) were used as received. Literature methods were used to prepare GII,<sup>49</sup> HII,<sup>49</sup> and 2-isopropoxybenzaldehyde.<sup>50</sup>

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 [an](#page-8-0)d 5[00](#page-8-0) spectrometers at 296 K, unless [oth](#page-8-0)erwise noted, and referenced to the residual proton or carbon signals of the deuterated solvent  $(^{1}H,~^{13}C)$ . Signals are reported relative to TMS at 0 ppm. Metathesis conversions and yields were measured on a GC (Agilent 7890A) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and polysiloxane column (Agilent HP-5) at an inlet split ratio of 10:1 and inlet temperature of 250 °C. Retention times were confirmed by comparison to pure samples. Styrene and stilbene were quantified from integrated peak areas vs decane internal standard, corrected for response factors of decane and analyte. Calibration curves (peak areas vs concentration) were constructed in the relevant concentration regime to account for the dependence on detector response for all analytes. GC-MS analysis was perfomed using an Agilent 5975B inert XL EI/Cl instrument equipped with a polysiloxane column.

Stoichiometric Reactions of HII with Nitrogen Bases. To a solution of HII (15 mg, 0.024 mmol) in 1.00 mL  $C_6D_6$ was added TMB (ca. 1 mg) as an internal integration standard. This solution was divided into two portions (0.012 mmol HII), half being treated with amine or pyridine, and the other half being used as a control reaction. In a screw-cap Rotoflo NMR tube, the initial ratio of HII: TMB was measured by integrating the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals for the [Ru] = CH and TMB sp<sup>2</sup>–CH protons. A solution of 12  $\mu$ L NH<sub>2</sub>"Bu f (8.9 mg, 0.12 mmol, 10 equiv) in 80  $\mu$ L C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> was injected using a gastight syringe. The pierced septum was immediately protected with Parafilm, a stopwatch was started, and the mixture was shaken vigorously for 30 s. The first <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was collected within 4 min. Loss of the alkylidene signals was monitored at 20 min intervals over 12 h.

For the corresponding reaction of benzylamine g, the first spectrum was measured at 15 min and 24 h (ca. 50% loss in total alkylidene at 24 h). Spectra were measured at 24 h intervals for a further 72 h. For all other amines (morpholine a, pyrrolidine b, pyridine c, DBU d, NEt<sub>3</sub> e, sec-butylamine h), spectra were measured at 1 h and again at 24 h. For pyridine c and sec-butylamine h, spectra were recorded at  $-20$  °C (C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>) to reduce the breadth of the alkylidene signal. Reactions of a−e and h showed no change after 24 h at RT, or after heating to 60 °C for an additional 24 h.

Confirmation of HII−HIIb Equilibrium. A sample was prepared as above, with collection of an <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum after 1 h. The sample was then dried under vacuum (ca. 50 mTorr) for 20 min, and redissolved for analysis. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(C_6D_6, 500.1 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta$  20.25 (Ru=CHAr for HIIb, 53%), 16.72 ( $Ru=CHAr$  for HII, 47%).

Synthesis of  $[RuCl(H_2IMes)(NH_2{}^nBu)_4]Cl$  (Ru-2). To a pink solution of GII (175 mg, 0.206 mmol) in 13 mL  $C_6H_6$  was added  $\rm NH_2$ "Bu (0.200 mL, 2.02 mmol, 10 equiv). The solution turned green immediately, and yellow over 24 h. A pale yellow precipitate deposited over this time. The solvent was stripped off, and the residue was washed with hexanes  $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ . Yield after drying under vacuum: 128 mg (81%). The corresponding reaction of HII likewise afforded Ru-2, in 69% isolated yield. H NMR  $(CD_2Cl_2 + 1\% NH_2^{\prime\prime}Bu$ , 500.1 MHz):  $\delta$  7.00 (s, 4H, m−CH of Mes), 3.96 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> of H<sub>2</sub>IMes), 2.69–2.59 (m, 8H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 2.56 (s, 12H, o–CH<sub>3</sub> of Mes), 2.29 (s, 6H, p–CH<sub>3</sub> of Mes), 1.99–1.88 (m, 8H,  $\alpha$ –CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 1.33 (t of t,  $^3$ J<sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz, 8H, β–CH<sub>2</sub> of <sup>n</sup>Bu), 1.14 (q of t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz, 8H, γ– CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 0.86 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$  Hz, 12H, CH<sub>3</sub> of "Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(CD_2Cl_2 + 1\% NH_2^{\prime\prime}Bu, 125.8 MHz): \delta$  224.6  $(C<sub>NHC</sub>$  of H<sub>2</sub>IMes), 139.9, 138.5, 137.7, 130.7 (m-CH of Mes), 53.6 (CH<sub>2</sub> of H<sub>2</sub>IMes), 45.2 ( $\alpha$ -CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 35.8 ( $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 20.9  $(p\text{-CH}_3 \text{ of Mes})$ , 20.4 ( $\gamma\text{-CH}_2$  of "Bu, detected from HMQC correlation with  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 20.3 (o-CH<sub>3</sub> of Mes), 14.0 (CH<sub>3</sub> of "Bu). IR (ATR, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu(N-H)$  3361-3134. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{37}H_{70}N_6Cl_2Ru$ : C, 57.64; H, 9.15; N, 10.90. Found: C, 57.52; H, 9.00; N, 10.79. Note: The NH,  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub> signals are obscured by added  $NH_2^{\text{n}}$ Bu. The integration values and multiplicities for these signals were confirmed from the spectrum for the dominant species  $Ru-2$  in  $CD_2Cl_2$  (see SI). The chemical shifts for  $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub> signals were confirmed by COSY correlation with  $\gamma$ -CH<sub>2</sub>.

[Pr](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscatal.5b00813/suppl_file/cs5b00813_si_001.pdf)eparation of NH(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>Ar); Ar = o-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-O<sup>'</sup>Pr. Isopropoxy-substituted benzylamines were prepared by modification of a reported reductive amination procedure.<sup>51</sup> These reactions were carried out in air with nondried solvents.

 $R = n$ -Bu (1). NH<sub>2</sub><sup>n</sup>Bu (1.1 mL, 0.80 g, 11 mmol) and excess<br>bSO. (ca. 4 g) were added to a stirred, colorless solution of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  (ca. 4 g) were added to a stirred, colorless solution of o-isopropoxybenzaldehyde (1.2 g, 7.1 mmol) in 30 mL THF. After 2 h, the mixture was filtered to remove excess  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The filtrate was treated with sodium borohydride (1.1 g, 29 mmol) and 15 mL CH<sub>3</sub>OH, and stirring was continued for 2 h. The reaction was then quenched with 20 mL saturated aq.  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$ , extracted with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , washed with brine, dried with Na2SO4, and stripped of solvent. Chromatography on silica. gel  $(3\% \text{ MeOH} + 0.5\% \text{ NH}_4\text{OH}$  in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ) afforded 1 as a colorless liquid. Yield 1.37 g (88%). Note: for compound numbering, see SI. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 300.3 MHz):  $\delta$  7.45 (dd, <sup>3</sup>I – 7 Hz <sup>4</sup>I – 1 Hz 1H C H of Ar) 7.11 (ddd <sup>3</sup>I – 8  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7 Hz,  $^{4}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 1 Hz, 1H, C<sub>3</sub>H of Ar), 7.11 (ddd,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 8 Hz, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1 H[z, 1](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscatal.5b00813/suppl_file/cs5b00813_si_001.pdf)H, C<sub>5</sub>H of Ar), 6.90 (ddd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1 Hz, 1H,  $C_4H$  of Ar), 6.65 (d,  ${}^3J_{HH}$  = 8 Hz, 1H,  $C_6H$  of Ar), 4.20 (septet,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 6 Hz, 1H, CH of <sup>i</sup>Pr), 3.93 (s, 2H, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.59 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz,  $\alpha$ –CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 2.46 (br s, 1H, NH), 1.57–1.39 (m, 2H,  $\beta$ –CH<sub>2</sub> of <sup>n</sup>Bu), 1.37–1.20 (m, 2H,  $\gamma$ −CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 1.10 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6 Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub> of <sup>i</sup>Pr), 0.85 (t, 3I – 7 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub> of <sup>3</sup>Ru)  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub> of "Bu). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 75.53 MHz):  $\delta$  156.5 (C<sub>1</sub> of Ar), 130.4 (C<sub>3</sub>H of Ar), 130.0 (C<sub>2</sub> of Ar), 128.1  $(C_5H$  of Ar, by HMQC correlation with  $C_5H$ ), 120.6  $(C_4H)$  of Ar), 112.9 ( $C_6H$  of Ar), 69.7 (CH of <sup>i</sup>Pr), 49.2 (ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 49.1  $(\alpha$ -CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 32.5 ( $\beta$ -CH<sub>2</sub> of "Bu), 22.1 (CH<sub>3</sub> of <sup>i</sup>Pr), 20.8 (γ-CH<sub>2</sub> of <sup>n</sup>Bu), 14.2 (CH<sub>3</sub> of <sup>n</sup>Bu). GC-MS  $m/z$ : [M<sup>+</sup>] calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO, 221.2; found, 221.2. IR (ATR, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu(N-H)$ 3365. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO: C, 75.97; H, 10.47, 6.33. Found: C, 75.63; H, 10.20; N, 6.56.

 $R = CH_2Ph$  (1'). Synthesis as for 1, using  $NH_2CH_2Ph$  in place of NH<sub>2</sub>"Bu. Yield: 61%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 300.3 MHz):  $\delta$  7.37  $(d, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, o\text{-} \text{Ph})$ , 7.31  $(dd, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8 \text{ Hz}, {}^{4}J_{\text{HH}} = 2 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H, C<sub>3</sub>H of Ar), 7.20 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$  Hz, 2H, m-Ph), 7.14–7.07 (overlapping m, 2H, p-Ph + C<sub>5</sub>H of Ar), 6.89 (ddd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz,  $^{4}J_{\text{HH}} = 1$  Hz, 1 H, C<sub>4</sub>H of Ar), 6.64 (d,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8$  Hz, 1H,  $C_6H$  of Ar), 4.16 (septet,  ${}^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6$  Hz, 1H, CH of <sup>i</sup>Pr), 3.89 (s, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.71 (s, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 1.50 (br s, 1H, NH), 1.04 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6$  Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub> of <sup>i</sup>Pr). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 75.53 MHz):  $\delta$  156.4 (C<sub>1</sub> of Ar), 141.6 (ipso-Ph), 130.4 (C<sub>3</sub>H of Ar), 130.3 ( $C_2$  of Ar), 128.53, 128.52, 128.4, 127.0 (p-Ph), 120.6 ( $C_4H$  of Ar), 112.9 ( $C_6H$  of Ar), 69.7 (CH of <sup>i</sup>Pr), 53.4  $(NCH_2Ph)$ , 49.1  $(NCH_2Ar)$ , 22.1  $(CH_3$  of <sup>i</sup>Pr). GC-MS  $m/z$ :  $[M^+]$  calcd for  $C_{17}H_{21}NO$ , 255.2; found, 255.2. IR (ATR, cm<sup>−1</sup>):  $\nu$ (N−H) 3337. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO: C, 79.96; H, 8.29, 5.49. Found: C, 79.77; H, 8.09; N, 5.36.

Assessing Impact of Base on Maximum Turnover Numbers (TON) in Self-Metathesis of Styrene. A stock solution of styrene and decane was prepared (0.275 and 0.215 M, respectively), and an aliquot (ca. 50  $\mu$ L) was taken to measure the initial ratio of substrate to internal standard. A Schlenk tube equipped with a stir-bar was charged with 2.0 mL of this stock solution (0.55 mmol styrene, 0.43 mmol decane) and diluted to 4.9 mL with  $C_6H_6$ . Pyrrolidine **b** was then added (0.10 mL of a 0.55 M stock solution in  $C_6H_6$ ; 0.055 mmol; 10 equiv vs HII). Finally, HII (0.50 mL of a 0.011 M stock solution, 5.5  $\mu$ mol) was added. Final volume: 5.5 mL C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>,  $[styrene] = 100$  mM,  $[base] = 10$  mM,  $[HII] = 1$  mM). The reaction vessel was transferred to a Schlenk line and heated to 60 °C within 10 min. After stirring for 24 and 48 h, aliquots were removed against a flow of  $N_2$ , quenched with excess KTp (ca. 1 mg,  $>10$  equiv vs Ru) in 1 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and analyzed by GC-FID. Values are averages of two independent trials  $(\pm 2.5\%)$ .

Identifying Catalyst Decomposition Products Formed by Metathesis in the Presence of Base. (a). During Self-Metathesis of Styrene. A solution of HII (15 mg, 0.024 mmol) and TMB (ca. 1 mg, 0.006 mmol) was prepared in 1.20 mL of  $C_6D_6$ . An aliquot (0.60 mL, 0.012 mmol HII) was transferred to a J-Young NMR tube. Pyrrolidine  $\mathbf{b}$  (10  $\mu$ L, 0.12 mmol, 10 equiv) was added, and a <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was collected to determine the initial integration ratio of HII relative to TMB. Styrene (14  $\mu$ L, 0.12 mmol, 200 mM, 10 equiv) was then added. The remaining solution was likewise treated with styrene and used as a control reaction. Both reactions were heated to 60 °C, and monitored at 2 h intervals. At 10 h: 90% loss of alkylidene; 99% at 18 h. Additional experiments utilized 10 equiv of DBU d or NEt<sub>3</sub> e. No alkylidene signals were evident for either of these bases after 30 min. For additional experiments at 21 °C: complete loss of alkylidene after 1 h for  $NEt_3$  e. With DBU d: 88% loss at 10 h, complete decomposition by 18 h. With pyrrolidine b: 78% loss of alkylidene at 7 days (NMR data collected at 24 h intervals). Under all conditions, <4% stilbene was formed (GC-FID analysis). The organic decomposition products were  $(E)$ -PhCH=CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph 3 (major) and  $(E)$ -PhCH=CHCH<sub>3</sub> 3' (minor): proportions are given in Table 2. Alkylidene signal for GIIb intermediate:  $\delta$  19.67 ppm.

Characterization Data for (E)–[PhCH](#page-3-0)=CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph 3. <sup>1</sup>H<br>MR (C.D.c. 500.1 MHz): δ 6.31 (d. <sup>3</sup>L... = 16 Hz. 1H. NMR  $(C_6D_6, 500.1 \text{ MHz}): \delta \cdot 6.31 \cdot (d, \, ^3J_{HH} = 16 \text{ Hz}, \, ^1H,$ PhHC=), 6.19 (dt,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 16 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  7 Hz, 1H, =CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.25 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7 Hz, 2H, =CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR signals for the Ph group of 3 were incompletely resolved from those for styrene and styrenyl ether 2. Key  $^{13}C(^{1}H)$  NMR data  $(C_6D_6, 125.8 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta$  131.5 (PhHC=), 129.4 (=CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 39.6 (= $CHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ). DEPT-135 experiments support assignment of the key olefin and methylene signals; <sup>1</sup>H-COSY experiments confirm connectivity (for spectra, see SI). GC-MS  $m/z$ : [M+] calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>, 194.1; found, 194.1. NMR<sup>43</sup> and  $EIMS<sup>52</sup>$  data agree with literature values for 3.

Characterization Data for (E)–PhCH=CHCH<sub>3</sub> 3'. <sup>1</sup>H<br>MR (C.D.c. 500.1 MHz): δ 6.29 (d. <sup>3</sup>L... = 16 Hz. 1H. NMR  $(C_6D_6, 500.1 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta$  6.29  $(d, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 16 \text{ Hz}, 1H,$ PhHC=C), 6.03 (d of q,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 16$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7$  Hz, 1H, C= CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.64 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 3$ H, C=CHCH<sub>3</sub>).

(b). During Degenerate Metathesis of Ethylene. A solution of HII (15 mg, 0.024 mmol) and TMB (ca. 2 mg, 0.012 mmol) was prepared in 1.20 mL of  $C_6D_6$ . An aliquot (0.60 mL, 0.012 mmol HII) was transferred to a J-Young NMR tube, and pyrrolidine (10  $\mu$ L, 0.12 mmol, 10 equiv) was added. The remaining solution was used as a control reaction. A  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectrum was collected to determine the integration ratio of HII relative to TMB. To saturate the solutions in ethylene, each sample was freeze−pump−thaw degassed (5×), then thawed under static vacuum. One atmosphere of  $C_2H_4$  was introduced at RT, samples were shaken vigorously, and a timer was started. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were collected after 2 and 16 h (when full decomposition was evident). In situ yields of styrenyl ether 2,  $ArCH_2CH=CH_2$  4, and  $ArCH=CHCH_3$  4' were quantified by integration of well-isolated olefinic signals relative to starting HII (normalized against TMB). Values for 4 and 4′ agree with data previously reported.<sup>53</sup> GC-MS data collected after full decomposition.

Ch[a](#page-8-0)racterization Data for ArCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub> 4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR<br>LD<sub>6</sub> 500 1 MHz):  $\delta$  6.09–5.97 (m. 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.12–  $(C_6D_6$ , 500.1 MHz): δ 6.09−5.97 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.12− 5.00 (m, 2H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.24–4.13 (m, 1H, CH of <sup>i</sup>Pr, overlaps with 4'), 3.48 (d,  $^{3}$ J<sub>HH</sub> = 6 Hz, 2H, ArCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.09 (d,  ${}^{3}$ J<sub>HH</sub> = 6 Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub> of <sup>i</sup>Pr). Aromatic signals not <span id="page-7-0"></span>assigned due to overlap with signals from Ru species present. EI-MS, [M<sup>+</sup>]:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{16}O$ , 176.1; found, 176.1.

Characterization Data for (E)-ArCH=CHCH<sub>3</sub> 4'. <sup>1</sup>H NMR<br>LD, 500 1 MHz):  $\delta$  6 17 (d of a <sup>3</sup>L<sub>IV</sub> = 16 Hz <sup>3</sup>L<sub>IV</sub> = 6 Hz  $(C_6D_6, 500.1 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta$  6.17 (d of q,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 16 \text{ Hz}, ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H, =CHCH3), 4.24−4.13 (m, 1H, CH of <sup>i</sup> Pr, overlaps with 4), 1.75  $(dd, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$  Hz,  ${}^{4}J_{HH} = 3$  Hz,  ${}^{4}J_{HH} = 3$ H, C=CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.10 (d,  ${}^{3}$ J<sub>HH</sub> = 6 Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub> of <sup>i</sup>Pr). Aromatic signals not assigned due to overlap with signals from Ru species present. EI-MS, [M<sup>+</sup>]:  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{16}O$ , 176.1; found, 176.1.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.5b00813.

NMR spectra for isolated Ru-2, amine derivatives 1 and 1′[, in situ-generated](http://pubs.acs.org) HIIb, [and NMR spectra and GC](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acscatal.5b00813) traces for base-induced decomposition of HII (PDF)

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail dfogg@uottawa.ca.

#### Notes

The aut[hors declare no co](mailto:dfogg@uottawa.ca)mpeting financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by NSERC of Canada. NSERC is acknowledged for a CGSD scholarship to B.J.I., and Lycée P.-G. de Gennes-ENCPB and DAREIC (France) for support to B.T.D. under the BTS Chemistry exchange program.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) For recent books offering comprehensive reviews, see: (a) Olefin Metathesis-Theory and Practice; Grela, K., Ed.; Wiley: Weinheim, 2014. (b) Handbook of Metathesis, 2nd ed.; Grubbs, R. H., Wenzel, A. G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2015; Vol. 2. (c) Metathesis in Natural Product Synthesis: Strategies, Substrates and Catalysts; Cossy, J., Arseniyadis, S., Meyer, C., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010.

(2) Vougioukalakis, G. C.; Grubbs, R. H. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1746−1787.

(3) Astruc, D. In Olefin Metathesis-Theory and Practice; Grela, K., Ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2014; pp 5−38.

(4) For l[ea](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr9002424)ding reviews of the synthesis of biologically important alkaloids, terpenoids, and other N-heterocyclic targets via olefin metathesis, see: (a) Martin, S. F. In Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katritzky, A. R., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2013; Vol. 110, pp 73−117. (b) Rutjes, F. P. J. T. In Metathesis in Natural Product Synthesis: Strategies, Substrates and Catalysts; Cossy, J., Arseniyadis, S., Meyer, C., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010; pp 45−86. (c) Donohoe, T. J.; Fishlock, L. P.; Procopiou, P. A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 5716−5726. (d) Martin, W. H. C.; Blechert, S. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2005, 5, 1521−1540. (e) Brenneman, J. B.; Martin, S. F. Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 1535−1549. (f) Gaich, T.; Mulzer, J. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2005, 5[,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800130) 1473−[1494. \(g\) Deiters, A.; Martin, S. F.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800130) Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2199−2238.

[\(5\) Compain, P.; Hazelard, D. In](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800130) Topics in Heterocyclic Chemistry; [Prunet, J., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2015; pp 1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800130)−43

[\(6\) van Lie](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr0200872)rop, B. J.; Lummiss, J. A. M.; Fogg, D. E. In Olefin Metathesis-Theory and Practice; Grela, K., Ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2014; pp 85−152.

(7) Compain, P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1829−1846.

(8) Nicola, T.; Brenner, M.; Donsbach, K.; Kreye, P. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2005, 9, 513−515.

(9) Yee, N. K.; Farina, V.; Houpis, I. N.; Haddad, N.; Fru[to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.200700161)s, R. P.; Gallou, F.; Wang, X.-J.; Wei, X.; Simpson, R. D.; Feng, X.; Fuchs, V.; Xu, Y.; Tan, J.; Zhan[g,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/op0580015) L.; Xu, J.; Smith-Keenan, L. L.; Vitous, J.;

Ridges, M. D.; Spinelli, E. M.; Johnson, M.; Donsbach, K.; Nicola, T.; Brenner, M.; Winter, E.; Kreye, P.; Samstag, W. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7133−7145.

(10) Wang, H.; Goodman, S. N.; Dai, Q.; Stockdale, G. W.; Clark, W. M. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2008, 12, 226−234.

(11) For [an](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo060285j) early report noting the importance of protecting groups in RCM of amine-containing substrates, see: (a) Fu, G. C.; Nguyen, S. T.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, [11](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/op700288p)5, 9856−9857. For an excellent overview of effective and undesirable protecting-group strategies, and the inhibiting effect of carbonyl chelation, see ref 7; for updates, see refs 5, 6. Robinson and co-workers have rep[orted](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [efficacy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [simple](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [ammonium](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [salts,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [where](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [solubility](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [permits.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) [See:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00074a085) (b) Woodward, C. P.; Spiccia, N. D.; Jackson, W. R.; Robinson, A. J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 779−781.

(12) Lafaye, K.; Nicolas, L.; Guérinot, A.; Reymond, S. b.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4972−4975.

(13) Saha, N.; Chatterjee, B.; Ch[at](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C0CC03716H)topadhyay, S. K. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 1896−1904.

(14) Curto, J. M.; Kozlowski, [M](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol502016h). C. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 5359− 5364.

(15) Garzon, C.; At[to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo5022162)lini, M.; Maffei, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 3653−3657.

(16[\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo500707t) Komatsu, Y.; Yoshida, K.; Ueda, H.; Tokuyama, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 377−380.

(17) Cochet, T.; [R](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201300375)oche, D.; Bellosta, V.; Cossy, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 801−809.

(18) Donohoe, T. J.; B[ow](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.10.129)er, J. F.; Baker, D. B.; Basutto, J. A.; Chan, L. K. M.; Gallagher, P. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10611−10613.

(19) Donohoe, T. J.[;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201101398) [R](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201101398)ace, N. J.; Bower, J. F.; Callens, C. K. A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4094−4097.

(20) Mahajan, V.; Gais, H.-J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6187−6[19](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c1cc14257g)5.

(21) Trita, A. S.; Roisne[l,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol101681r) T.; Mongin, F.; Chevallier, F. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3798−3801.

(22) Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 127−150.

(23) Chadwick, J. C.; Duchateau, R.; Freixa, Z.; van Leeuwen, P. W.

N. M. Homogeneous [C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol401803t)atalysts: Activity [−](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr5004375) Stability − Deactivation; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2011.

(24) Manzini, S.; Poater, A.; Nelson, D. J.; Cavallo, L.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8995−8999.

(25) Wilson, G. O.; Porter, K. A.; Weissman, H.; White, S. R.; Sottos, N. R.; Moore, J. S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1817−1825.

(26) Lummiss, J. A. M.; Ireland, B. J.; Sommers, J. M.; Fo[gg](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201403770), D. E. ChemCatChem 2014, 6, 459−463.

(27) Lummiss, J. A. M.; Botti, A. G. G.; Fogg, D. E. C[at](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.200900134)al. Sci. Technol. 2014, 4, 4210−4218.

(28) Hong, S. H.; Wenzel, A. [G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201300861).; Salguero, T. T.; Day, M. W.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7961−7968.

(29) Moore and co-workers [re](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4CY01118J)ported that the first-generation Grubbs catalyst is immediately decomposed to nonalkylidene products by excess NH<sub>2</sub>"Bu. They also isola[t](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja0713577)ed the first amine adduct [o](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja0713577)f GII (61%) yield) via use of low temperatures and short reaction times. See ref 25. (30) Sanford, M. S.; Love, J. A.; Grubbs, R. H. Organometallics 2001, 20, 5314−5318.

(31) Lummiss, J. A. M.; McClennan, W. L.; McDonald, R.; Fogg, D. E. Organometallics 2014, 33, 6738−6741.

(32) In a rare [st](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om010599r)udy of the decomposition of HII, Bespalova and coworkers reported that the catalyst is completely decomposed by ethylene after 24 h at 55 °C ( $C_6D_6$ ). [O](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om501011y)rganic products included propylene, 2-butene, and minor quantities of ArCH=CHCH<sub>3</sub> (cf.  $3'$ , Scheme 7;  $Ar = o - C_6H_4-O'Pr$ ). See: (a) Nizovtsev, A. V.; Afanasiev, V. V.; Shutko, E. V.; Bespalova, N. B. NATO Sci. Ser. II 2007, 243, 125− 135. Hong and Grubbs noted that the Ru products are unidentified [hydrides:](#page-4-0) ref 28. The Piers group reported that decomposition of ruthenocyclobutane  $RuCl_2(H_2IMes)(\kappa^2-C_3H_6)$  on warming above  $-25$  °C in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> afforded propylene as the major decomposition product. See: (b) Romero, P. E.; Piers, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1698−1704.

(33) Bates, J. M.; Lummiss, J. A. M.; Bailey, G. A.; Fogg, D. E. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, [238](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja0675245)7−2394.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>(34) Precedent for a downfield shift in the [Ru]=CHAr signal following adduct formation is found in the data reported for the  $PCy_3$ adduct of HII,  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>IMes)(PCy<sub>3</sub>)(=CHAr)$  (where Ar is the nonchelated aryl group  $o$ -'PrO-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>). This signal appears at 19.88 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). See: (a) Gessler, S.; Randl, S.; Blechert, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9973−9976. In comparison, a value of 16.56 ppm was reported for HII itself in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solvent. See: (b) Garber, S. B.; Kingsbury, J. S.; Gray, B. [L.; Hoveyda, A. H.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8168−8179.

[\(35\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [The](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [identity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [benzylamine](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [derivative](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [is](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [supported](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [by](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [GC-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6)[MS](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [analysis](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [reaction](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [aliquot,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [by](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) [comparison](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6) of [the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01808-6)  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR [spectrum](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja001179g) [with](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja001179g) [tha](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja001179g)t of an authentic sample prepared by reductive amination of the parent aldehyde: see S.I.

(36) Reported  $pK_a$  values for the conjugate acids in acetonitrile: morpholine, a: 16.6; pyrrolidine b: 1[9.6;](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acscatal.5b00813/suppl_file/cs5b00813_si_001.pdf) pyridine c: 12.6; DBU d: 24.1; triethylamine e: 18.5; n-butylamine f: 18.3; benzylamine g: 16.9. See: Cox, B. G. Acids and Bases: Solvent Effects on Acid-Base Strength; Oxford University Press: Croydon, 2013; pp 99−115.

(37) Fogg, D. E.; James, B. R. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 1961−1966.

(38) The structure depicted for Ru-2, in which the chloride ligand has migrated to a site trans to the  $H_2$ IMes ligand, is proposed on the basis of the high symmetry evide[nt](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic960735y) by  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR analysis. Consistent with the presence of four equivalent butylamine ligands, one singlet is seen for the H<sub>2</sub>IMes backbone protons, and for the mesityl CH protons, integrating 4:4:8 relative to the multiplet for the n-butylamine NCH<sub>2</sub> protons.

(39) Nucleophilic attack of phosphines on Ru-benzylidene species has likewise been reported, although the occurrence is relatively rare, presumably owing to steric restrictions. Exceptions include Hofmann's  $RuCl<sub>2</sub>(<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)(=CHPh)$  system, in which the ring strain of the four-membered  $\kappa^2$ -PP chelate is relieved by attack at the benzylidene carbon. See: (a) Hansen, S. M.; Rominger, F.; Metz, M.; Hofmann, P. Chem. - Eur. J. 1999, 5, 557−566. In a second example, reaction of  $GI$  with CO triggered migration of a  $PCy_3$  ligand to the alkylidene carbon: the presence of the  $\pi$ -acceptor CO ligands renders the alkylidene carbon more electrophilic, whil[e](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990201)5:2<557::AID-CHEM557>3.0.CO;2-A) [also](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990201)5:2<557::AID-CHEM557>3.0.CO;2-A) [reducing](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990201)5:2<557::AID-CHEM557>3.0.CO;2-A) [steric congestion at the metal. See: \(b\) Galan, B. R.; Pitak, M.; Keister,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990201)5:2<557::AID-CHEM557>3.0.CO;2-A) J. B.; Diver, S. T. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3630−3632.

[\(40\) Chatterjee, A. K.; Choi, T.-L.; Sanders, D. P.; Grubbs, R. H.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990201)5:2<557::AID-CHEM557>3.0.CO;2-A) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11360−11370.

[\(41\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om8003652) Ferré-Filmon, [K.;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om8003652) [Delaude,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om8003652) [L.;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om8003652) [Demonceau,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om8003652) [A.;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om8003652) [Noe](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om8003652)ls, A. F. *Eur*. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 2005, 3319−3325.

(42) Yang, H.; Ma, Z.; Zhou, T.; Zh[an](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja0214882)g, W.; Chao, J.; Qin, Y. ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 2278−2287.

(43) Ghosh, R.; Adarsh, N. N.; Sar[ka](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.200500068)r, A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5320−5322.

 $(44)$  Not seen was ArCH=C[HP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201300021)h, which would arise from a metallacyclobutane intermediate bearing the aryl and phenyl groups on adjacen[t](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo100643j) carbons. This kinetically disfavoured "head to head" cycloaddition is further inhibited by competing decomposition.

(45) Isopropoxystyrene 2 is readily identified from the well-isolated signals for its geminal protons. Each gives rise to a characteristic doublet of doublets, one at 5.74 ppm  $({}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}(trans) = 18$  Hz,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 2$ Hz); the other at 5.21 ppm  $({}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}(cis) = 11 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 2 \text{ Hz}).$ 

(46) Such  $\sigma$ -alkyl species are highly reactive, and readily participate in E−H activation processes that liberate the alkyl ligand. See: refs 30, 38, and: (a) Leitao, E. M.; Dubberley, S. R.; Piers, W. E.; Wu, Q.; McDonald, R. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 11565−11572. (b) Le[itao](#page-7-0), E. M.; Piers, W. E.; Parvez, M. Can. J. Chem. 2013, 91, 935−942.

(47) van Rensburg, W. J.; Steynberg, P. J.; Meyer, [W. H.; Kirk, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200801584) M.; Forman, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14332−14333.

[\(48\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) [Selected](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) [recent](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) [examples:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) [\(a\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) [Bidange,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) [J.;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) [Dubois,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0156) J.-L.; Couturier, J.-L.; Fischmeister, C.; Bruneau, C. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 2014, 116, 1583−1589. (b) van der Klis, F.; Le N[otr](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja0453174)e, J.; Blaauw, R.; van Haveren, J.; van Es, D. S. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 2012, 114, 911−918. (c) Behr, [A.; Krema, S.; Kamper, A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201400184) RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 12775−[12781. \(d\) Wolf, S.; Plenio, H.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201400184) Green Chem. 2011, 13, 2008−[2012.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201200024)

(49) van Lierop, B. J.; Reckling, A. M.; Lummiss, J. A. M.; Fogg, D. E. ChemCatChem 2012, 4, 2020−2025.

(50) Marciniec, B.; Rogalski, S.; Potrzebowski, M. J.; Pietraszuk, C. ChemCatChem 2011, 3, 904−910.

(51) Springer, J.; Jansen, T. P.; [In](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201200540)gemann, S.; Hiemstra, H.; van Maarseveen, J. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 2008, 361−367.

(52) McLafferty, F. W. Wiley Re[gi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201000376)stry of Mass Spectral Data, 9th ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009.

(53) Bujok, R.; Bieniek, M.; Masnyk, M.; Michrowska, A[.;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.200700832) Sarosiek, A.; Stepowska, H.; Arlt, D.; Grela, K. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6894− 6896.